IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Writ Petition No. 1363 (M/S) of 2006

1. Kaushal Kishore Joshi, S/o Sri Subhash Chandra Joshi, R/o Mallital, Bhimtal, District- Nainital.
2. Govind Ballabh Joshi, S/o Sri Pooran Chandra Joshi, R/o Village Charayal Suyal, Manpur West, Devalchaur, Haldwani, District-Nainital. **….. Petitioner.**

Vs

1. State of Uttaranchal through Secretary, Department of Education (Basic), Uttaranchal Shasan, Dehradun.
2. Director, School Education (Basic) Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
3. Additional Director, State Counsel for Education Research And Training Uttaranchal, Narendra Nagar, District-Tehri Garhwal.
4. Principal, District Institute of Education and Training, Bhimtal, District-Nainital. **…….Respondents**

Sri Manoj Tiwari learned counsel for the petitioners.
Sri R.C. Arya learned standing counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to4.

Dated: - 18-09-2006

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.
(Interim Relief Application No.11778 of 2006)

Heard Sri Manoj Tiwari learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri R.C. Arya learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

The petitioners herein have filed the present writ petition praying for direction of this Court in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to entertain the applications submitted by the petitioners for admission to Special B.T.C. Course and to consider their candidature in the light of the G.O. dated 19-3-2005 issued regarding relaxation in age (Annexue-11).

Admittedly, the advertisement for admission to Special B.T.C. Training for the year 2006-2007 was made on 9-7-2006 and applications were invited from the eligible candidates for which last date of submission had been fixed 10-8-2006. It is not denied that the petitioners have not applied in pursuance of the advertisement dated 9-7-2006 at all, therefore, the prayer of the petitioners for considering their candidature for the said Special B.T.C. Training does not arise and there is no question of extending the benefit of Government Order Dated 19-3-2005 regarding age relaxation. The present writ petition is misconceived and has to be dismissed in limine.

The writ petition is dismissed in- limine.

(B.S. Verma, J.)

RCP